

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/811.152 03/04/97 **HIRAKATA** Υ 07977/132001 NV **EXAMINER** 020985 MMC1/0824 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC CHOWDHURY.T 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO CA 92122 2871

DATE MAILED:

08/24/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr c eding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| · | Application N . | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | 08/811,152 | HIRAKATA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Tarifur R Chowdhury | 2871 |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> | <u>March 1997</u> . | |
| 24, | his action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-137</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) \boxtimes Claims <u>1-137</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a ☐ approved b ☐ disapproved. | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 18) 🔲 Interview Sur | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) |
| 16) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s | 19) Notice of Info | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/811,152

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-112 and 135-137, drawn to a liquid crystal display device,
 classified in class 349, subclass 43.
 - II. Claims 113-134, drawn to a manufacturing method of a liquid crystal display device, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (refer to the different process in the instant application). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. If group I is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 08/811,152

Art Unit: 2871

Species I: claims 4-10, 21-27;

Species II: claims 11-17, 28-34;

Species III: claims 35-38, 53-57, 74-77 and 92-96;

Species IV: claims 39-45, 58-65, 78-84, 97-104 and 137;

Species V: claims 46-52, 66-73, 85-91, 105-112, 135 and 136;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 and 18-20 are generic.

5. Further, if group II is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: claims 113-118 and 124-129;

Species II: claims 119-123 and 130-134;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 08/811,152

Art Unit: 2871

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on (703) 305-4842. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 08/811,152

Art Unit: 2871

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

TRC August 23, 2001

> William L. Sikes Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800